

Climate Change Effects and Adaptation Approaches in Freshwater Aquatic and Riparian Ecosystems in the North Pacific Landscape Conservation Cooperative Region

A Compilation of Scientific Literature

Phase 1 Draft Final Report

Front Matter Only: Executive Summary, Table of Contents, & Preface

Produced by Patricia Tillmann* and Dan Siemann†

National Wildlife Federation

Funded by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Region 1 Science Applications Program

August 2011



* email: tillmannp@nwf.org ; † email: siemannd@nwf.org

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Phase 1 draft final report provides a first-ever compilation of what is known—and not known—about climate change effects on freshwater aquatic and riparian ecosystems in the geographic extent of the North Pacific Landscape Conservation Cooperative (NPLCC). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service funded this report to help inform members of the newly established NPLCC as they assess priorities and begin operations. Production of this report was guided by University of Washington's Climate Impacts Group and information was drawn from more than 250 documents and more than 100 interviews. A final report will be published in 2012 following convening of expert focus groups under Phase II of this project.

Information in this report focuses on the NPLCC region, which extends from Kenai Peninsula in southcentral Alaska to Bodega Bay in northwestern California, west of the Cascade Mountain Range and Coast Mountains. The extent of the NPLCC reaches inland up to 150 miles (~240 km) and thus only includes the lower extent of most large watersheds. This area is home to iconic salmon, productive river, lake, and wetland systems, and a wide variety of fish, wildlife, amphibians, and other organisms. Many of these species, habitats, and ecosystems are already experiencing the effects of a changing climate.

Carbon Dioxide Concentrations, Temperature, and Precipitation

Increased atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) contributes to the earth's greenhouse effect, leading to increased air temperature, altered precipitation patterns, and consequent effects for biophysical processes, ecosystems, and species.

- **Atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have increased** to ~392 parts per million (ppm)¹ from the pre-industrial value of 278 ppm,² higher than any level in the past 650,000 years.³ By 2100, CO₂ concentrations are projected to exceed ~600 ppm and may exceed 1000 ppm.⁴
- **Annual average temperatures have increased** ~1-2°F (~0.56-1.1°C) from coastal British Columbia to northwestern California over the 20th century⁵ and 3.4°F (~1.9°C) in Alaska from 1949 to 2009.⁶ Winter temperatures increased most: 6.2°F (3.4°C) in Alaska⁷ and ranging from 1.8 to 3.3°F (1.0-1.83°C) in the remainder of the region.⁸ By 2100, the range of projected annual increases varies from 2.7 to 13°F (1.5-7.2°C), with the largest increases projected in Alaska.⁹ Seasonally, winter temperatures will continue to warm most in Alaska,¹⁰ while summers are projected to warm most in the remainder of the region (2.7-9.0°F, 1.5-5.0°C).¹¹ These changes are projected to reduce snowpack¹² and summer streamflow,¹³ increase water temperature,¹⁴ and will likely lead to increasing physiological stress on temperature-sensitive species,¹⁵ drying of alpine ponds and wetlands, and reduced habitat quality for dependent reptiles and amphibians.¹⁶
- **Seasonal precipitation varies but is generally wetter in winter.** Cool season precipitation (Oct-March) increased 2.17 inches (5.51 cm) in Alaska from the periods 1971-2000 to 1981-2010.¹⁷ In Washington and Oregon, winter precipitation (Jan-March) increased 2.47 inches (6.27 cm) from 1920 to 2000.¹⁸ In California, winter precipitation increased between 1925 and 2008,¹⁹ while in British Columbia, both increases and decreases in winter precipitation were observed, depending on the time period studied.²⁰ Increased cool season precipitation raised winter flood risk in much of the Puget Sound basin and coastal areas of Washington, Oregon, and California.²¹ Over the 21st Century, winter and fall precipitation is projected to increase 6 to 11% in BC and 8% in

Washington and Oregon, while summer precipitation is projected to decrease (-8 to -13% in BC and -14% in WA and OR).²² In southeast Alaska, however, warm season precipitation is projected to increase 5.7%.²³ These changes have implications for future patterns of winter flooding and summer low flows and will affect the water quality and supply that freshwater species rely upon.²⁴

Impacts of climate change on freshwater aquatic and riparian systems

Increases in CO₂ and air temperature, combined with changing precipitation patterns, are already altering numerous conditions, processes, and interactions in freshwater aquatic and riparian ecosystems. In most cases, these trends are projected to continue.

- **Reduced snowfall and snowpack, especially at lower and mid elevations:** In Juneau (AK), winter snowfall decreased ~15%, or nearly 1.5 feet (~0.45 m) between 1943 and 2005.²⁵ In the Cascade Mountains, April 1 snow water equivalent (SWE) has declined 16%²⁶ to 25%²⁷ since 1930. And in the lower Klamath Basin (CA), April 1 SWE decreased significantly at most monitoring sites lower than 5,905 feet (1,800 m) but increased slightly at higher elevations.²⁸ By 2059, April 1 SWE is projected to decline from 28%²⁹ up to 46%³⁰ in the NPLCC region. A 73% decline in snow accumulation is projected for California's North Coast under a doubling of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations.³¹ For all but the highest elevation basins, loss of winter snowpack is projected to result in reduced summer streamflow, transforming many perennial streams into intermittent streams and reducing available habitat for fish, amphibians, and invertebrates dependent on constant flow and associated wetland conditions.³²
- **Earlier spring runoff:** In the NPLCC region, the timing of the center of mass of annual streamflow (CT) shifted one to four weeks earlier and snow began to melt approximately 10 to 30 days earlier from 1948 to 2002.³³ From 1995 to 2099, CT is projected to shift 30 to 40 days earlier in Washington, Oregon and Northern California and 10 to 20 days earlier in Alaska and western Canada.³⁴ Both the spring freshet and spring peak flows are projected to occur earlier for basins currently dominated by glaciers, snow, or a mix of rain and snow.³⁵ In currently rain-dominant basins, runoff patterns will likely mimic projected precipitation changes.³⁶ In snowmelt-dominant streams where the seaward migration of Pacific salmon has evolved to match the timing of peak snowmelt flows, reductions in springtime snowmelt may negatively impact the success of smolt migrations.³⁷
- **Increased winter streamflow and flooding:** In six glaciated basins in the North Cascades, mean winter streamflow (Nov-March) increased 13.8% from 1963 to 2003.³⁸ Winter streamflow also increased in non-rain-dominated basins in British Columbia and the Pacific Northwest from 1956 to 2006.³⁹ In the western U.S. from ~1975 to 2003, flood risk increased in rain-dominant and particularly in warmer mixed rain-snow-dominant basins, and probably remained unchanged in many snowmelt- and cooler mixed-rain-snow-dominant basins in the interior.⁴⁰ Under a warmer future climate with increased rainfall and decreased snowfall, winter streamflow and flood risk will increase, particularly for mixed rain-snow basins in the region.⁴¹ At Ross Dam on the Skagit River (WA), the magnitude of 50-year-return flood events is projected to increase 15% by the 2040s (compared to 1916-2006).⁴² The egg-to-fry survival rates for pink, chum, sockeye, Chinook, and coho salmon will be negatively impacted as more intense and frequent winter floods wash away the gravel beds salmon use as nesting sites.⁴³

- **Decreased summer streamflow:** In the Pacific Northwest, northwestern California, and coastal British Columbia, those watersheds receiving some winter precipitation as snow experienced a decrease in summer streamflow from 3% to more than 40% between 1942 and 2006.⁴⁴ By 2100, further declines in the number and magnitude of summer low flow days are projected throughout the region.⁴⁵ In Washington's rain- and mixed rain-snow basins, the 7-day low flow magnitude is projected to decline by up to 50% by the 2080s.⁴⁶ Projected declines in summer streamflow will reduce the capacity of freshwater to dilute pollutants.⁴⁷ Combined with increased summer stream temperature, this will reduce habitat quality and quantity for stream-type Chinook and coho salmon, steelhead, and other freshwater fishes.⁴⁸
- **Reduced glacier size and abundance in most of the region:** Fifty-three glaciers have disappeared in the North Cascades since the 1950s,⁴⁹ glaciers in the Oregon Cascades lost 40% to 60% of their area from 1901 to 2001,⁵⁰ and the Lemon Glacier near Juneau (AK) retreated more than 2600 feet (792 m) from 1953 to 1998.⁵¹ However, in California, Mt. Shasta's glaciers exhibited terminal advance and little change in ice volume, as increased temperatures were counteracted by increased winter snow accumulation.⁵² Limited projections for the 21st century indicate glacial area losses of 30% to 75% in parts of the NPLCC region.⁵³ The Hotlum glacier on Mt. Shasta is projected to disappear by 2065.⁵⁴ Where the contribution of glacial meltwater to streamflow is reduced or eliminated, the frequency and duration of low flow days is projected to increase,⁵⁵ raising stream temperature and suspended sediment concentrations and altering water chemistry.⁵⁶
- **Increased water temperature:** Observed increases in lake and river temperatures are generally projected to continue, exceeding the threshold for salmon survival in some areas of the NPLCC region. Annual average water temperature in Lake Washington increased ~1.6°F (0.9°C) from 1964 to 1998.⁵⁷ In Johnson Creek (OR) water temperature variability increased over a recent 10-year period, suggesting that stream temperatures frequently exceed the local threshold level of 64.4°F (18°C).⁵⁸ In western Washington, simulations of maximum August stream temperatures from 1970 to 1999 showed most stations remained below 68°F (20°C), the upper threshold for salmon survival.⁵⁹ However, in the 21st century, a prolonged duration of water temperatures beyond the thermal maximum for salmon is projected for the Fraser River (BC),⁶⁰ the Lake Washington/Lake Union ship canal (WA), the Stillaguamish River (WA),⁶¹ and the Tualatin River (OR).⁶² In Washington by the 2080s, stream temperatures are projected to increase by 3.6 to 9°F (2-5°C).⁶³
- **Changes in water quality:** Documented effects of climate change on water quality were not found, and water quality projections are both limited and widely varying for the NPLCC region. In seasons and areas where increased flows are projected, nutrient contaminants may be diluted (e.g. northwest BC)⁶⁴ or alternatively, sediment nutrient loads may be increased (e.g. during winter in the Tualatin Basin, OR).⁶⁵ Projected declines in summer flows and water supply may decrease nutrient sediment loads, but projected increases in development or other stressors may counteract the decline.⁶⁶ Lakes may experience a longer stratification period in summer⁶⁷ which could enhance eutrophication and lead to oxygen depletion in deep zones during summer, eliminating refuges for coldwater-adapted fish species.⁶⁸ In coastal areas, saltwater intrusion due to sea level rise was observed in Island County (WA)⁶⁹ and is projected to increase in the

neighboring Gulf Islands (BC),⁷⁰ as well as other areas where coastal water tables are influenced by marine systems.⁷¹

- **Reduced seasonal ice cover:** The spatial and seasonal extent of ice cover on lakes will be reduced due to climate change.⁷² For example, in several British Columbia lakes, the duration of ice cover decreased by up to 48 days over the 1976 to 2005 period.⁷³ For mid-latitude lakes, each 1.8°F (1°C) increase in mean autumn temperature leads to a 4 to 5 day delay in ice freeze-up, while the same increase in mean spring temperature leads to a 4 to 5 day advance in the onset of ice break-up.⁷⁴ Community and invasion processes may be affected as reduced ice cover increases light levels for aquatic plants, reduces the occurrence of low oxygen conditions in winter, and exposes aquatic organisms to longer periods of predation from terrestrial predators.⁷⁵ In northern regions where productivity is limited by ice cover and/or temperature, productivity may increase, providing additional food for fish and other species.⁷⁶

Implications for ecosystems, habitats, and species

Climate-induced changes in air temperature, precipitation, and other stressors are already affecting the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of freshwater ecosystems.⁷⁷ Many of these trends will be exacerbated in the future. Impacts on habitat (loss and transition) and species (range shifts, invasive species interactions, and phenology) are highlighted here.

Habitat loss and transition

Increasing temperatures and associated hydrologic changes are projected to result in significant habitat impacts. Lake levels and river inputs are likely to decline if increases in evapotranspiration (due to higher temperatures, longer growing seasons, and extended ice-free periods) are not offset by an equal or greater increase in precipitation.⁷⁸ However, areas that become wetter could have higher lake levels.⁷⁹ Where lake levels are permanently lowered, the productive nearshore zone may be degraded as more shoreline is exposed.⁸⁰ Habitat for fish that require wetlands for spawning and nursery habitat would be reduced if lake-fringing wetlands become isolated.⁸¹

Warmer temperatures, reduced snowpack, and altered runoff timing is projected to cause drying of alpine ponds and other wetland habitats, reducing habitat quality for Cascades frog, northwestern salamander, long-toed salamander, garter snakes, and other dependent species.⁸² However, loss of snowpack may allow alpine vegetation establishment, leading to improved habitat conditions for some high elevation wildlife species.⁸³ In the short term, vegetation establishment will be limited to areas favorable to rapid soil development.⁸⁴

A modeling study suggests two-thirds of Alaska will experience a potential biome shift in climate this century, although the rate of change will vary across the landscape.⁸⁵ Much of southeast Alaska may be shifting from the North Pacific Maritime biome (dominated by old-growth forests of Sitka spruce, hemlock, and cedar) to the more southerly Canadian Pacific Maritime biome (dominated by yellow and western red cedar, western and mountain hemlock, amabilis and Douglas-fir, Sitka spruce, and alder).⁸⁶

Range shifts, invasive species, and altered phenology

Climate warming is expected to alter the extent of habitat available for cold-, cool-, and warm-water organisms, resulting in range expansions and contractions.⁸⁷ Range-restricted species and habitats, particularly polar and mountaintop species and habitats that require cold thermal regimes,⁸⁸ show more

severe range contractions than other groups and have been the first groups in which whole species have gone extinct due to recent climate change.⁸⁹ Amphibians are among the most affected.⁹⁰

The effects of climate change on aquatic organisms may be particularly pronounced in streams where movements are constrained by thermal or structural barriers.⁹¹ Bull trout distribution is strongly associated with temperature,⁹² and in the southern end of their range (WA, OR, northwest CA), this coldwater species is generally found at sites where maximum daily temperatures remain below 60.8°F (16°C).⁹³ However, summer stream temperatures in many bull trout waters at the southern end of their range are projected to exceed 68°F (20°C) by 2100.⁹⁴

Climate change may enhance environmental conditions such that some species are able to survive in new locations, known invasive species expand into new territories, and species that currently are not considered invasive could become invasive, causing significant impacts.⁹⁵ Invasive aquatic species that appear to benefit from climate change include hydrilla, Eurasian watermilfoil, white waterlily,⁹⁶ and reed canarygrass.⁹⁷ In Washington, Oregon, and Idaho, a habitat suitability model projects 21% of the region could support suitable habitat for the invasive tamarisk by 2099 (a two- to ten-fold increase).⁹⁸ Tamarisk currently occupies less than 1% of this area, and the remainder is considered highly vulnerable to invasion.⁹⁹

Numerous ecological studies support a general pattern of species' phenological responses to climate change: on average, leaf unfolding, flowering, insect emergence, and the arrival of migratory birds occur earlier than in the past.¹⁰⁰ A significant mean advancement of spring events by 2.3 days per decade has been observed.¹⁰¹ Studies of phenology from the NPLCC region have found:

- Lamprey run timing shifted 13 days earlier from 1939 to 2007 as Columbia River discharge decreased and water temperatures increased.¹⁰² Migration occurred earliest in warm, low-discharge years and latest in cold, highflow years.¹⁰³
- Populations of Lake Washington's keystone herbivore, *Daphnia*, show long-term statistically significant declines associated with an increasing temporal mismatch with its food source (the spring diatom bloom).¹⁰⁴ In contrast, although the phytoplankton peak advanced by 21 days, the herbivorous rotifer *Keratella* maintained a corresponding phenological response and experienced no apparent decoupling of the predator-prey relationship.¹⁰⁵

In the future, populations that are most mistimed are generally expected to decline most in number.¹⁰⁶ For fishes dependent on water temperature for spawning cues, the spawning time may shift earlier if river waters begin to warm sooner in the spring.¹⁰⁷ Changes in plankton populations such as those described for *Daphnia* and *Keratella* in Lake Washington may have severe consequences for resource flow to upper trophic levels.¹⁰⁸

Adaptation to climate change for freshwater aquatic and riparian systems

Given that CO₂ concentrations will continue to increase and exacerbate climate change effects for the foreseeable future,¹⁰⁹ adaptation is emerging as an appropriate response to the unavoidable impacts of climate change.¹¹⁰ Adaptive actions reduce a system's vulnerability,¹¹¹ increase its capacity to withstand or be resilient to change,¹¹² and/or transform systems to a new state compatible with likely future conditions.¹¹³ Adaptation actions typically reflect three commonly cited tenets: (1) remove other threats and reduce non-climate stressors that exacerbate climate change effects;¹¹⁴ (2) establish, increase, or

adjust protected areas, habitat buffers, and corridors;¹¹⁵ and, (3) increase monitoring and facilitate management under uncertainty, including scenario-based planning and adaptive management.¹¹⁶

Adaptation actions may occur in legal, regulatory, institutional, or decision-making processes, as well as in on-the-ground conservation activities.¹¹⁷ For example, actions that maintain or increase instream flow can counteract increased stream temperatures, reductions in snowpack, and changes in runoff regimes such as reduced summer stream flows and altered flow timing.¹¹⁸ Actions to restore or protect wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas can help moderate or reduce stream temperatures, alleviate the flooding and scouring effects of extreme rainfall or rapid snowmelt, improve habitat quality, and enable species migrations.¹¹⁹ Decision-makers may also modify or create laws, regulations, and policies to incorporate climate change impacts into infrastructure planning to protect freshwater ecosystems,¹²⁰ promote green infrastructure and low impact development approaches to reduce extreme flows and improve water quality and habitat,¹²¹ and adapt Early Detection and Rapid Response protocols to identify, control, or eradicate new and existing invasive species before they reach severe levels.¹²²

Although uncertainty and gaps in knowledge exist, sufficient scientific information is available to plan for and address climate change impacts now.¹²³ Implementing strategic adaptation actions early may reduce severe impacts and prevent the need for more costly actions in the future.¹²⁴ To identify and implement adaptation actions, practitioners highlight four broad steps:

1. Assess current and future climate change effects and conduct a vulnerability assessment.¹²⁵
2. Select conservation targets and a course of action that reduce the vulnerabilities and/or climate change effects identified in Step 1.¹²⁶
3. Measure, evaluate, and communicate progress through the design and implementation of monitoring programs.¹²⁷
4. Create an iterative process to reevaluate and revise the plan, policy, or program, including assumptions.¹²⁸

Adaptive approaches to addressing climate change impacts will vary by sector and management goal, across space and time, and by the goals and preferences of those engaged in the process.¹²⁹ In all cases, adaptation is not a one-time activity, but is instead a continuous process, constantly evolving as new information is acquired and interim goals are achieved or reassessed.¹³⁰ Ultimately, successful climate change adaptation supports a system's capacity to maintain its past or current state in light of climate impacts or transform to a new state amenable to likely future conditions.¹³¹

¹ NOAA. (2011c)

² Forster et al. (2007, p. 141)

³ CIG. (2008)

⁴ Meehl et al. (2007, p. 803)

⁵ Mote (2003, p. 276); Butz and Safford. (2010, p. 1).

⁶ Karl, Melillo and Peterson. (2009, pp. , p. 139)

⁷ Alaska Climate Research Center. (2009)

⁸ B.C. Ministry of Environment. (2007, Table 1, p. 7-8); Mote (2003, Fig. 6, p. 276)

⁹ For AK, Karl, Melillo and Peterson. (2009, p. 139). For WA and OR, CIG. (2008, Table 3). For OR alone, Mote et al. (2010, p. 21). For CA, CA Natural Resources Agency. (2009, p. 16-17) and Port Reyes Bird Observatory (PRBO). (2011, p. 8)

- ¹⁰ Cayan et al. (2008, Table 1, p. S25); Karl, Melillo and Peterson. (2009); Mote and Salathé, Jr. (2010, Fig. 9, p. 42); PRBO. (2011, p. 8)
- ¹¹ B.C. Ministry of Environment. (2006, Table 10, p. 113).
- ¹² Elsner et al. (2010, Table 5, p. 244); Pike et al. (2010, p. 715); PRBO. (2011, p. 8)
- ¹³ AK Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC). (2010, p. 2-3); Chang and Jones. (2010, p. 94); Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 204-205); Pike et al. (2010, p. 719); Stewart. (2009, p. 89)
- ¹⁴ Mantua et al. (2010)
- ¹⁵ Mantua et al. (2010)
- ¹⁶ Halofsky et al. (n.d., p. 143)
- ¹⁷ This information was obtained from and approved by Tom Ainsworth and Rick Fritsch (Meteorologists, NOAA/National Weather Service, Juneau) on June 10, 2011. The datum for 1971-2000 is an official datum from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). The datum for 1981-2010 is a preliminary, unofficial datum acquired from Tom Ainsworth and Rick Fritsch (Meteorologists, NOAA/National Weather Service, Juneau) on May 12, 2011. The NCDC defines a climate normal, in the strictest sense, as the 30-year average of a particular variable (e.g., temperature).
- ¹⁸ Mote (2003, p. 279)
- ¹⁹ Killam et al. (2010, p. 4)
- ²⁰ Pike et al. (2010, Table 19.1, p. 701)
- ²¹ Hamlet and Lettenmaier. (2007, p. 15)
- ²² For BC, BC Ministry of Environment. (2006, Table 10, p. 113); For OR and WA, Mote and Salathé, Jr. (2010, 42-44); Seasonal precipitation projections for California were not available.
- ²³ Alaska Center for Climate Assessment and Policy. (2009, p. 31)
- ²⁴ Allan, Palmer and Poff. (2005, p. 279); Hamlet and Lettenmaier. (2007, p. 16); Martin and Glick. (2008, p. 14); Pike et al. (2010, p. 731); Poff, Brinson and Day. (2002, p. 15)
- ²⁵ Kelly et al. (2007, p. 36)
- ²⁶ Stoelinga, Albright and Mass. (2010, p. 2473)
- ²⁷ Pelto. (2008, p. 73); Snover et al. (2005, p. 17)
- ²⁸ Van Kirk and Naman. (2008, p. 1035)
- ²⁹ Pike et al. (2010, p. 715)
- ³⁰ Elsner et al. (2010, Table 5, p. 244)
- ³¹ PRBO. (2011, p. 8)
- ³² Poff, Brinson and Day. (2002)
- ³³ Stewart, Cayan and Dettinger. (2005); Snover et al. (2005)
- ³⁴ Stewart, Cayan and Dettinger. (2004, p. 225)
- ³⁵ Chang and Jones. (2010, p. 192); Pike et al. (2010, p. 719); Stewart. (2009, p. 89).
- ³⁶ Pike et al. (2010, p. 719)
- ³⁷ Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 207)
- ³⁸ Pelto. (2008, pp. , p. 72-74)
- ³⁹ Pelto. (2008, Table 5, p. 72); Pike et al. (2010, pp. , p. 706, 717); Stewart (2009, Table V, p. 89)
- ⁴⁰ Hamlet and Lettenmaier. (2007, p. 15-16)
- ⁴¹ AK DEC. (2010, p. 5-2); Pike et al. (2010, p. 719); Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 8)
- ⁴² Seattle City Light (2010). The authors cite CIG (2010) for this information.
- ⁴³ Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 207); Martin and Glick. (2008, p. 14).
- ⁴⁴ Chang and Jones. (2010); Pelto. (2008); Pike et al. (2010); Snover et al. (2005); Van Kirk and Naman. (2008)
- ⁴⁵ AK DEC. (2010, p. 2-3); Chang and Jones. (2010, p. 94); Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 204-205); Pike et al. (2010, p. 719); Stewart. (2009, p. 89).
- ⁴⁶ Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 204-205)
- ⁴⁷ Pike et al. (2010, p. 730); Kundzewicz et al. (2007, p. 188).
- ⁴⁸ Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 209-210); Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 207);
- ⁴⁹ WA Department of Ecology (ECY). (2007)
- ⁵⁰ Chang & Jones. (2010)
- ⁵¹ Kelly et al. (2007, p. 33)
- ⁵² Howat et al. (2007, p. 96)
- ⁵³ Chang and Jones. (2010, p. 84); Howat et al. (2007, p. 96); Pike et al. (2010, p. 716)

- ⁵⁴ Howat et al. (2007, p. 96)
⁵⁵ Pike et al. (2010, p. 719)
⁵⁶ Pike et al. (2010, p. 717)
⁵⁷ Arhonditsis et al. (2004, p. 262-263)
⁵⁸ Chang and Jones. (2010, p. 116)
⁵⁹ Mantua et al. (2010)
⁶⁰ Pike et al. (2010, p. 729)
⁶¹ Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010, p. 199, 201)
⁶² Chang and Jones. (2010, p. 116)
⁶³ Mantua et al. (2010)
⁶⁴ Pike et al. (2010)
⁶⁵ Chang & Jones. (2010)
⁶⁶ Chang & Jones. (2010)
⁶⁷ Euro-Limpacs (N.D.)
⁶⁸ Euro-Limpacs (N.D.)
⁶⁹ Huppert et al. (2009, p. 299)
⁷⁰ Pike et al. (2010)
⁷¹ Chang & Jones. (2010)
⁷² Rahel and Olden. (2008, p. 525)
⁷³ Pike et al. (2010, p. 703)
⁷⁴ Nickus et al. (2010, p. 51)
⁷⁵ Rahel and Olden. (2008, p. 525)
⁷⁶ Austin et al. (2008, p. 189); Pike et al. (2010, p. 729)
⁷⁷ Nickus et al. (2010, p. 60)
⁷⁸ Allan, Palmer and Poff. (2005, pp. , p. 279)
⁷⁹ Poff, Brinson and Day. (2002, p. 15)
⁸⁰ Poff, Brinson and Day. (2002, p. 17)
⁸¹ Poff, Brinson and Day. (2002, p. 17)
⁸² Halofsky et al. (n.d., p. 143)
⁸³ Halofsky et al. (in press)
⁸⁴ Halofsky et al (in press)
⁸⁵ Murphy et al. (August 2010, p. 21)
⁸⁶ Murphy et al. (August 2010, p. 21)
⁸⁷ Allan, Palmer and Poff. (2005, p. 279)
⁸⁸ Poff, Brinson and Day. (2002, p. 23)
⁸⁹ Parmesan. (2006, p. 657)
⁹⁰ Parmesan. (2006, p. 657). Amphibian populations in Central and South American mountain habitats declined or went extinct in the past 20-30 years as temperature shifts became more amenable to the infectious disease, Bd.
⁹¹ Isaak et al. (2010, p. 1350)
⁹² Dunham, Rieman and Chandler. (2003, p. 894)
⁹³ Dunham, Rieman and Chandler. (2003, p. 894)
⁹⁴ Chang and Jones. (2010, p. 116); Mantua, Tohver and Hamlet. (2010)
⁹⁵ U.S. EPA. (2008, p. 2-14)
⁹⁶ HDR. (2009, p. 2)
⁹⁷ Murphy et al. (August 2010, p. 40)
⁹⁸ Kerns et al. (2009, p. 200)
⁹⁹ Kerns et al. (2009, p. 200)
¹⁰⁰ Yang and Rudolf. (2010, p. 1)
¹⁰¹ Parmesan and Yohe. (2003, pp. , p. 37-38)
¹⁰² Keefer et al. (2009, pp. , p. 258)
¹⁰³ Keefer et al. (2009, p. 253)
¹⁰⁴ Winder and Schindler. (2004a, p. 2100)
¹⁰⁵ Winder and Schindler. (2004a, p. 2103)
¹⁰⁶ Both et al. (2006, p. 81)
¹⁰⁷ Palmer et al. (2008, p. 30)

- ¹⁰⁸ Winder and Schindler. (2004a, p. 2100)
¹⁰⁹ ADB. (2005, p. 7)
¹¹⁰ Gregg et al. (2011, p. 30)
¹¹¹ Gregg et al. (2011, p. 29)
¹¹² Glick et al. (2009, p. 12)
¹¹³ Glick et al. (2009, p. 13); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. (2010, Sec1:16)
¹¹⁴ Gregg et al. (2011); Lawler (2009); Glick et al. (2009)
¹¹⁵ Gregg et al. (2011); Lawler (2009); Glick et al. (2009)
¹¹⁶ Gregg et al. (2011); Lawler (2009); Glick et al. (2009)
¹¹⁷ Gregg et al. (2011); Heinz Center. (2008); Littell et al. (2009)
¹¹⁸ Furniss et al. (2010); Lawler. (2009); Miller et al. (1997); Nelitz et al. (2007); Nelson et al. (2007); Palmer et al. (2008)
¹¹⁹ ASWM. (2009); Furniss et al. (2010); Lawler. (2009); Nelitz et al. (2007); NOAA. (2010a); Palmer et al. (2008)
¹²⁰ Gregg et al. (2011); U.S. EPA. (2009)
¹²¹ NOAA. (2010a)
¹²² U. S. EPA. (2008b)
¹²³ Littell et al. (2009)
¹²⁴ Binder. (2010, p. 355)
¹²⁵ Gregg et al. (2011); Glick et al. (2009); Heller & Zavaleta (2009); NOAA (2010a); U.S. AID. (2009); CIG (2007); ADB (2005); Pew Center (2009)
¹²⁶ Gregg et al. (2011); Glick et al. (2009); Heller & Zavaleta (2009); NOAA (2010a); U.S. AID. (2009); CIG (2007); Pew Center (2009)
¹²⁷ Gregg et al. (2011); Glick et al. (2009); Heller & Zavaleta (2009); NOAA (2010a); U.S. AID. (2009); CIG (2007); ADB (2005)
¹²⁸ Gregg et al. (2011); Glick et al. (2009); NOAA (2010a); U.S. AID. (2009); CIG (2007); ADB (2005)
¹²⁹ Gregg et al. (2011); Littell et al. (2009)
¹³⁰ Littell et al. (2009)
¹³¹ Glick et al. (2011a)

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
CONTENTS.....	x
List of tables, figures, boxes, and case studies.....	xv
List of key acronyms and abbreviations	xix
PREFACE.....	xx
<i>Production and Methodology</i>	xx
<i>Description of Synthesis Documents Utilized</i>	xxi
<i>How to Use This Document</i>	xxi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xxi
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
<i>Description of NPLCC</i>	1
<i>Organization of Report</i>	2
<i>Definitions for Freshwater Aquatic and Riparian Environments</i>	2
II. CO ₂ CONCENTRATIONS, TEMPERATURE, AND PRECIPITATION.....	5
1. Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) concentrations – <i>global observed trends and future projections</i>	7
<i>Observed Trends</i>	7
<i>Future Projections</i>	9
2. Temperature – <i>global and regional observed trends and future projections</i>	10
<i>Observed Trends</i>	10
<i>Future Projections</i>	15
3. Precipitation – <i>global and regional observed trends and future projections</i>	20
<i>Observed Trends</i>	20
<i>Future Projections</i>	23
<i>Information Gaps</i>	26
III. MAJOR CLIMATE IMPACTS ON HYDROLOGY IN THE NPLCC REGION.....	27
1. Changes in snowpack, runoff, and streamflow regimes	29
<i>Relationship between temperature, precipitation, snowpack, runoff, and streamflow</i>	30
<i>Observed Trends</i>	33
<i>Future Projections</i>	42
<i>Information Gaps</i>	49
2. Reduced glacier size and abundance.....	50
<i>Hydrologic dynamics of glaciers and climate change</i>	51
<i>Observed Trends</i>	53
<i>Future Projections</i>	58
<i>Information Gaps</i>	59
3. Increased flooding and extreme flow.....	62
<i>Hydrologic dynamics of increased flooding, extreme flow, and climate change</i>	63
<i>Observed Trends</i>	63
<i>Future Projections</i>	65
<i>Information Gaps</i>	67
4. Increased water temperature	68

<i>Hydrologic and physical dynamics of water temperature and climate change</i>	68
<i>Observed Trends</i>	69
<i>Future Projections</i>	71
<i>Information Gaps</i>	72
5. Changes in water quality	74
<i>Climatic and hydrologic dynamics influencing water quality</i>	74
<i>Observed Trends</i>	75
<i>Future Projections</i>	77
<i>Information Gaps</i>	78
6. Altered groundwater levels, recharge, and salinity	79
<i>Hydrologic dynamics of groundwater and climate change</i>	79
<i>Observed Trends</i>	80
<i>Future Projections</i>	81
<i>Information Gaps</i>	82
IV. IMPLICATIONS FOR FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEMS	83
1. Altered nutrient cycling and productivity	85
<i>Observed Trends</i>	86
<i>Future Projections</i>	89
<i>Information Gaps</i>	91
2. Changes to stratification and eutrophication	92
<i>Observed Trends</i>	92
<i>Future Projectons</i>	93
<i>Information Gaps</i>	93
3. Changes to water input, level, and area	94
<i>Observed Trends</i>	94
<i>Future Projections</i>	95
<i>Information Gaps</i>	96
4. Changes to the length and date of seasonal ice cover	97
<i>Observed Trends</i>	97
<i>Future Projections</i>	98
<i>Information Gaps</i>	99
5. Habitat loss, degradation, and conversion	100
<i>Observed Trends</i>	101
<i>Future Projections</i>	102
<i>Information Gaps</i>	105
V. IMPLICATIONS FOR FRESHWATER SPECIES , POPULATIONS, AND BIOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES	106
1. Shifts in species range and distribution	110
<i>Observed Trends</i>	110
<i>Future Projections</i>	112
<i>Information Gaps</i>	114
2. Altered phenology and development	115
<i>Observed Trends</i>	115
<i>Future Projections</i>	118

<i>Information Gaps</i>	118
3. Shifts in community composition, competition, and survival.....	119
<i>Observed Trends</i>	119
<i>Future Projections</i>	120
<i>Information Gaps</i>	121
4. Altered interaction with invasive and non-native species.....	123
<i>Observed Trends</i>	124
<i>Future Projections</i>	125
<i>Information Gaps</i>	127
VI. IMPLICATIONS FOR KEY FISH, AMPHIBIANS, AND MACROINVERTEBRATES	128
1. Pacific lamprey (<i>Lampetra tridentata</i>)	129
<i>Observed Trends</i>	129
<i>Future Projections</i>	131
<i>Information Gaps</i>	131
2. Pacific salmon (<i>Oncorhynchus</i> spp.)	132
<i>Observed Trends</i>	133
<i>Future Projections</i>	136
<i>Information Gaps</i>	141
3. Amphibians	144
<i>Observed Trends</i>	144
<i>Future Projections</i>	146
<i>Information Gaps</i>	147
4. Macroinvertebrates	148
<i>Observed Trends</i>	148
<i>Future Projections</i>	149
<i>Information Gaps</i>	150
VII. ADAPTING TO THE EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN THE FRESHWATER ENVIRONMENT	152
1. Framework for adaptation actions.....	154
<i>General Approach to Adaptation Action</i>	154
<i>Specific Planning and Management Approaches to Adaptation Action</i>	155
2. Common tenets of adaptation action.....	157
3. Climate adaptation actions – information gathering and capacity building.....	160
<i>Conduct/gather additional research, data, and products</i>	160
<i>Create/enhance technological resources</i>	160
<i>Conduct vulnerability assessments and studies</i>	160
<i>Conduct scenario planning exercises</i>	163
<i>Increase organizational capacity</i>	163
<i>Create/host adaptation training and planning workshops</i>	163
<i>Provide new job training for people whose livelihoods are threatened by climate change</i>	163
<i>Create new institutions (training staff, establishing committees)</i>	163
<i>Coordinate planning and management across institutional boundaries</i>	164
<i>Invest in/enhance emergency services planning and training</i>	164
<i>Create stakeholder engagement processes</i>	164

<i>Increase/improve public awareness, education, and outreach efforts</i>	165
4. Climate adaptation actions – monitoring and planning.....	166
<i>Evaluate existing monitoring programs for wildlife and key ecosystem components</i>	166
<i>Improve coordinated management and monitoring of wetlands</i>	166
<i>Incorporate predicted climate change impacts into species and land management</i>	166
<i>Develop dynamic landscape conservation plans</i>	168
<i>Develop/implement adaptive management policies and plans</i>	169
<i>Changes to land use planning and zoning</i>	169
<i>Integrate floodplain management and reservoir operations using Ecosystem-Based Adaptation</i> ...	169
<i>Community planning</i>	170
<i>Ensure that wildlife and biodiversity needs are considered as part of the broader societal adaptation process</i>	170
5. Climate adaptation actions – infrastructure and development	171
<i>Make infrastructure resistant or resilient to climate change</i>	171
<i>Develop more effective stormwater infrastructure</i>	171
<i>Green infrastructure and low-impact development</i>	172
<i>Build storage capacity</i>	173
6. Climate adaptation actions – governance, policy, and law	174
<i>Develop a disaster preparedness plan</i>	174
<i>Maintain adequate financial resources for adaptation</i>	174
<i>Review existing laws, regulations, and policies</i>	175
<i>Create new or enhance existing policy</i>	175
<i>Additional actions</i>	176
7. Climate adaptation actions – species and habitat conservation, restoration, protection and natural resource management.....	177
<i>Maintain, restore, or increase in-stream flow to address changes in snowpack, runoff, and streamflow regimes</i>	177
<i>Reduce effects of increased flooding and extreme flow</i>	182
<i>Moderate or reduce water temperature</i>	184
<i>Maintain or improve water quality</i>	186
<i>Address climate change impacts on glaciers</i>	187
<i>Maintain and restore riparian areas</i>	188
<i>Maintain and restore wetlands</i>	192
<i>Maintain and restore lake shorelines</i>	194
<i>Maintain, restore, or create stream and watershed connectivity</i>	201
<i>Preserve habitat for vulnerable species</i>	205
<i>Manage and prevent the establishment of aquatic and riparian invasive and non-native species in a changing climate</i>	215
8. Status of adaptation strategies and plans in the states, provinces, and selected tribal nations of the NPLCC.....	220
<i>Alaska</i>	220
<i>Yukon Territory</i>	220
<i>British Columbia</i>	221
<i>Washington</i>	221

Jamestown S’Klallam Tribe 222
Swinomish Indian Tribal Community 222
Tulalip Tribe 223
Oregon 224
Coquille Tribe 224
California..... 225
Yurok Tribe 225
VIII. NEXT STEPS 226
IX. APPENDICES 227
 1. Key Terms and Definitions 227
 2: SRES Scenarios and Climate Modeling 233
 3. Major Climate Patterns in the NPLCC: ENSO and PDO 236
 4. Resources for Adaptation Principles and Responses to Climate Change 239
 5. List of Reviewers and Interviewees 241
X. BIBLIOGRAPHY 244

LIST OF TABLES, FIGURES, BOXES, AND CASE STUDIES

TABLES

Table 1. Annual and seasonal temperature trends for Juneau, AK over two thirty-year time periods.....	12
Table 2. Trends in the average daily minimum, mean, and maximum temperatures per decade in °F (°C) in southern coastal British Columbia, 1950-2006.....	13
Table 3. Regional-scale maximum and minimum temperature trends during 1916-2003 and 1947-2003 for the cool season (October-March) and warm season (April-September) in the Pacific Northwest.	15
Table 4. Projected multi-model average temperature increases, relative to the 1970-1999 mean.....	18
Table 5. Annual and seasonal precipitation and date of freeze trends for Juneau, AK over two thirty-year time periods.....	21
Table 6. Historical trends precipitation in 30-, 50-, and 100-year periods, calculated from mean daily values as seasonal and annual averages.	22
Table 7. Summary of observed changes in snow cover and snowmelt-derived streamflow for the western North American mountain ranges.	33
Table 8. Observed trends in the timing, amount, and frequency of runoff and streamflow, NPLCC region.	40
Table 9. Projected changes in SWE, snowpack, and streamflow in coastal B.C.....	46
Table 10. Trends in glacial surface mass balance, loss, retreat, thinning, and ice thickness, North Cascades, WA, 1880-2007.....	60
Table 11. Summary of possible responses of common categories of stream and river biological fish indicators to climate-related changes in water temperature and hydrologic regime.....	122
Table 12. Invasive plant species modeled in southcentral and southeast Alaska.	126
Table 13. Maximum weekly temperature upper thermal tolerances for salmonids.....	135
Table 14. Summary of potential effects of climate change on anadromous salmonids in freshwater habitats of southeast Alaska.....	138
Table 15. Species and life stage-specific summary of <i>potential</i> biological vulnerabilities of salmon to climate-induced changes in water flows and temperatures.....	139
Table 16. Expected climate change effects and sensitivities, and potential novel indicators of climate change, in macroinvertebrates.....	151
Table 17. Key Steps for Assessing Vulnerability to Climate Change.....	162
Table 18. Bulkhead removal costs per linear foot.....	194
Table 19. Shoreline construction costs (as of 2008).....	199
Table 20. Novel indicators that may be sensitive to climate change.....	214

FIGURES

Figure 1. Public land ownership within the North Pacific Landscape Conservation Cooperative (NPLCC). 4

Figure 2. Jan-Dec Global Mean Temperature over Land & Ocean. 11

Figure 3. Historical average (1916-2003) winter temperature in the Pacific Northwest. 14

Figure 4. Solid lines are multi-model global averages of surface warming (relative to 1980–1999) for the scenarios A2, A1B and B1, shown as continuations of the 20th century simulations. 16

Figure 5. Changes in (A) mean annual temperature and (B) temperature seasonality, averaged over 16 GCMs, A1B scenario, for 2070-2099 (1971-2000 baseline). 19

Figure 6. Examples of potential direct and indirect effects of climate change on the hydrologic cycle. 28

Figure 7. Trends in (a) spring pulse onset and (b) date of center of mass of annual flow (CT) for snowmelt- and (inset) non-snowmelt-dominated gauges across western North America. 36

Figure 8. Median, over 12 climate models, of the percent changes in runoff from United States water resources regions for 2041-2060 relative to 1901-1970. 43

Figure 9. Watershed classification based on the ratio of April 1 SWE to total March-October precipitation for the historical period (1916-2006), for the A1B scenario (left panels), and for the B1 scenario (right panels) at three future time periods (2020s, 2040s, 2080s)..... 47

Figure 10. (TOP) Cross section of a typical alpine glacier showing the two major zones of a glacier and ice flow within the glacier. (BOTTOM) A simplified diagram of a glacier mass budget, showing major mass input (snowfall) and outputs (melting, and runoff). 52

Figure 11. Current rates of glacier ice thinning in southeastern Alaska as measured by laser altimetry. 53

Figure 12. Changes in the simulated 20-Year flood associated with A) 20th Century warming trends, and B) increases in cool season precipitation variability since 1973..... 64

Figure 13. Average changes in the simulated 100-year flood for 297 river locations in the Pacific Northwest for the 2040s A1B scenario. 67

Figure 14. Trends in 7-day average daily maximum temperature for 31 stations in Oregon, 1999-2009. . 70

Figure 15. *Color shading* shows the mean surface air temperatures for August for the 2020s (top), 2040s (middle) and 2080s (bottom) and *shaded circles* show the simulated mean of the annual maximum for weekly water temperatures for select locations. 73

Figure 16. *Color shading* shows the historic (1970-1999) mean surface air temperatures for August, and *shaded circles* show the simulated mean of the annual maximum for weekly water temperatures for select locations. 73

Figure 17. Linkages between atmospheric increases in CO₂ and environmental drivers of temperature and precipitation that regulate many physical and ecological processes in lakes and ponds (left) and rivers and streams (right). 84

Figure 18. Current biome types as predicted by SNAP climate data. 102

Figure 19. Projected potential biomes for 2090-2099..... 104

Figure 20. Biome refugia. 104

Figure 21. Key components of vulnerability, illustrating the relationship among exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity..... 108

Figure 22. Increased risk of extinction due to climate change occurs where species possess biological traits or characteristics that make them particularly susceptible to change, and simultaneously occur in areas where climatic changes are most extreme. 109

Figure 23. Known Alaska marmot distribution and modeled current distribution. 111

Figure 24. Projected Alaska marmot distribution. 113

Figure 25. Potential expansion of trumpeter swan habitat..... 114

Figure 26. Potential spread of reed canary grass, using climate and all-season roads as predictors..... 126

Figure 27. Life cycle strategies of the five species of salmon (*Oncorhynchus*) found in southeast Alaska with those that rear in freshwater and those that migrate directly to the ocean. 137

Figure 28. Summary of key climate change impacts on Washington’s freshwater habitat for salmon and steelhead..... 142

Figure 29. Conceptual diagram illustrating linkages among freshwater physical habitat factors altered by climate change (e.g., water flows and temperatures), freshwater biological mechanisms affecting survival, and life stages of salmon..... 143

Figure 30. Green Shorelines Decision Tree. 200

Figure 31. SRES Scenarios. 233

BOXES

Box 1. Summary of observed trends and future projections for greenhouse gas concentrations, temperature, and precipitation..... 5

Box 2. The Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES). 8

Box 3. Why are atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, temperature, and precipitation important for a discussion of climate change effects on freshwater ecosystems? 9

Box 4. Trends and projections for extreme precipitation in the NPLCC region..... 23

Box 5. Summary of observed trends and future projections for changes in snowpack, runoff, and streamflow regimes..... 29

Box 6. Why are changes in snowpack, runoff, and streamflow regimes projected? 30

Box 7. Characteristics of the four runoff regimes found in the NPLCC region. 32

Box 8. The role of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) and El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in regional climate..... 35

Box 9. Trends and projections for evapotranspiration in the western United States, southcentral British Columbia, and Alaska..... 42

Box 10. Summary of observed trends and future projections for reduced glacier size and abundance. 50

Box 11. Surface mass balance and thinning: key indicators in the analysis of glaciers and climate change.
..... 51

Box 12. Summary of observed trends and future projections for increased flooding and extreme flow. 62

Box 13. Summary of observed trends and future projections for increased water temperature. 68

Box 14. Summary of observed trends and future projections for changes in water quality. 74

Box 15. Summary of observed trends and future projections for altered groundwater levels, recharge, and salinity..... 79

Box 16. Multiple stressors in wetlands: climate change, and human and natural disturbance. 96

Box 17. Vegetation in the North Pacific Maritime and Canadian Pacific Maritime regions..... 103

Box 18. Sediment Accumulation Rate (SAR): Observed trends and future projections. 105

Box 19. Thresholds & Salmon..... 133

Box 20. Managing uncertainty: Scenario-based planning and adaptive management..... 158

Box 21. Adaptation and Adaptive Management: Complementary but Distinct Concepts..... 159

CASE STUDIES

Case Study 1. Willamette Water 2100: Anticipating water scarcity and informing integrative water system response in the Pacific Northwest..... 181

Case Study 2. Limits to floodplain development: the National Flood Insurance Program and National Marine Fisheries Service Biological Opinion, Puget Sound, WA. 204

Case Study 3. Climate Change and the Salmon Stronghold Approach. 206

Case Study 4. Projected impacts of climate change on salmon habitat restoration, Snohomish Basin, WA.
..... 208

Case Study 5. Citizen scientists monitor for climate change effects: the Salmon Watcher Program, WA.
..... 211

LIST OF KEY ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AOGCM	Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Model
AR4	4 th Assessment Report (produced by IPCC)
BC	Province of British Columbia, Canada
CA	State of California, United States
CIG	Climate Impacts Group
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
ENSO	El Niño-Southern Oscillation
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency, United States
GCM	Global Circulation Model
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LCC	Landscape Conservation Cooperative
LEK	Local Ecological Knowledge
MoE	Ministry of Environment, British Columbia
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration, United States
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States
NPLCC	North Pacific Landscape Conservation Cooperative
O ₂	Oxygen
OCAR	Oregon Climate Assessment Report (produced by OCCRI)
OCCRI	Oregon Climate Change Research Institute
OR	State of Oregon, United States
PCIC	Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium
PDO	Pacific Decadal Oscillation
PNW	Pacific Northwest
SLR	Sea Level Rise
SRES	Special Report on Emissions Scenarios
SWE	Snow Water Equivalent
TEK	Traditional Ecological Knowledge
WA	State of Washington, United States
WACCIA	Washington Climate Change Impacts Assessment (produced by CIG)

PREFACE

This report is intended as a reference document – a science summary – for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) Region 1 Science Applications Program. The report compiles findings on climate change impacts and adaptation approaches in freshwater aquatic and riparian ecosystems within the North Pacific Landscape Conservation Cooperative area (NPLCC). The report is intended to make scientific information on climate change impacts within the NPLCC region accessible and useful for natural resources managers and others. It is produced by National Wildlife Federation under a grant from the U.S. FWS (FWS Agreement Number 10170AG200).

This report is a complete “Draft Final” version and represents the fulfillment of Phase One of a two phase project. Under Phase Two, funded through a separate grant, NWF will convene expert focus groups and produce a final report in 2012 that incorporates additional information. A companion “draft final” and final report compiling similar information on marine and coastal ecosystems within the NPLCC area will also be completed under the same timeline.

Production and Methodology

This report draws from peer-reviewed studies, government reports, and publications from non-governmental organizations to summarize climate change and ecological literature on historical baselines, observed trends, future projections, policy and management options, knowledge gaps, and the implications of climate change for species, habitats, and ecosystems in the freshwater environment. Because the report strives to reflect the state of knowledge as represented in the literature, in most cases language is drawn directly from cited sources. By compiling and representing verbatim material from relevant studies rather than attempting to paraphrase or interpret information from these sources, the authors sought to reduce inaccuracies and possible mis-characterizations by presenting data and findings in their original form. The content herein does not, therefore, necessarily reflect the views of National Wildlife Federation or the sponsors of this report. Given the extensive use of verbatim material, in order to improve readability while providing appropriate source attributions, we indicate those passages that reflect verbatim, or near verbatim, material through use of an asterisk (*) as part of the citation footnote. In general, verbatim material is found in the main body of the report, while the Executive Summary, Boxes, and Case Studies generally reflect the report authors’ synthesis of multiple sources.

To produce this report, the authors worked with the University of Washington Climate Impacts Group (CIG) and reviewers from federal, state, tribal, non-governmental, and university sectors. CIG provided expert scientific review throughout the production process, as well as assistance in the design and organization of the report. Reviewers provided access to local data and publications, verified the accuracy of content, and helped ensure the report is organized in a way that is relevant and useful for management needs. In addition, we engaged early with stakeholders throughout the NPLCC region for assistance and input in the production of this report. More than 100 people provided input to or review of this document.

Description of Synthesis Documents Utilized

This report draws from primary sources as well as synthesis reports. In synthesis reports, we accepted information as it was presented. Readers are encouraged to refer to the primary sources utilized in those synthesis reports for more information. In most cases, we include the page number for reference. In cases where a primary source is referenced in a secondary source, we have indicated it in the footnote. The global, regional, state, and provincial level synthesis reports drawn from include:

- *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fourth Assessment Report (AR4): Climate Change 2007*. (2007).
- *Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States*. (2009).
- *Alive and Inseparable: British Columbia's Coastal Environment* (2006).
- *Compendium of forest hydrology and geomorphology in British Columbia: Climate Change Effects on Watershed Processes in British Columbia*. (2010).
- *Environmental Trends in British Columbia: 2007*.
- *Climatic Change*, Volume 102, Numbers 1-2 (September 2010). This volume published the findings of the Washington Climate Change Impacts Assessment (WACCIA).
- *Washington Climate Change Impacts Assessment (WACCIA)* (2009).
- *Oregon Climate Assessment Report (OCAR)* (2010).
- *2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy: A Report to the Governor of the State of California in Response to Executive Order S-13-2008*.
- *Adapting to Climate Change: A Planning Guide for State Coastal Managers*. (2010).
- *Helping Pacific Salmon Survive the Impact of Climate Change on Freshwater Habitats*. (2007).
- *Preliminary review of adaptation options for climate-sensitive ecosystems and resources*. (2008).
- *Recommendations for a National Wetlands and Climate Change Initiative*. (2009).
- *Strategies for Managing the Effects of Climate Change on Wildlife and Ecosystems*. (2008).
- *The State of Marine and Coastal Adaptation in North America: A Synthesis of Emerging Ideas*. (2011).

How to Use This Document

Being the first reference document of its kind for the North Pacific LCC region, the extensive details on climate change trends and projections are necessary to provide baseline information on the NPLCC. However, we encourage the reader to focus on the general magnitude and direction of projections, their implications, and on the range of options available to address climate change impacts. It is our hope that this document will provide useful information to North Pacific LCC members and stakeholders, and help facilitate effective conservation that accounts for climate change and its impacts in the region.

Acknowledgements

We thank our partner, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, for funding and support throughout the production of this report, with special thanks to the Region 1 Science Applications Program.

We are grateful to our partner, the University of Washington Climate Impacts Group, for their expertise and insight, and for the many improvements that came through their guidance.

We are indebted to the 100+ individuals who gave generously of their time and knowledge to inform the development of this report. With the expertise of reviewers and interviewees, we were able to acquire and

incorporate additional peer-reviewed reports and publications evaluating climate change impacts on relatively small geographic scales. This allowed us to add nuance to the general picture of climate change impacts throughout the NPLCC geography. Further, this report benefitted tremendously from the resources, thoughtfulness, expertise, and suggestions of our 34 reviewers. Thank you for your time and effort throughout the review process. Reviewers and people interviewed are listed in Appendix 6.

We also thank Ashley Quackenbush, Matt Stevenson, and Dan Uthman for GIS support.

Suggested Citation

Tillmann, Patricia. and Dan Siemann. *Climate Change Effects and Adaptation Approaches in Freshwater Aquatic and Riparian Ecosystems of the North Pacific Landscape Conservation Cooperative Region: A Compilation of Scientific Literature. Phase 1 Draft Final Report.* National Wildlife Federation – Pacific Region, Seattle, WA. August 2011.