

## California CD 23 Survey Results

Q1	Do you approve or disapprove of President Donald Trump's job performance?			Here's the next one: The program protects important wildlife habitat – do you find this a		
	Approve	55%		very convincing, somewhat convinci convincing reason to permanently re		
	Disapprove			program?	SHOW the	
	Not sure			Very convincing	51%	
Q2	I'm going to tell you a little bit about the La			Somewhat convincing	25%	
	and Water Conservation Fund, which Con has the chance to reauthorize this year. T			Not convincing	20%	
	Land and Water Conservation Fund was			Not sure	3%	
	created by Congress 53 years ago to bols the health of Americans by ensuring they places to recreate outside. It has funded ein every county in every state in the country—things like parks, wildlife habitat municipal swimming pools and it is not fur by taxpayer dollars. Having heard this, do support or oppose renewing and funding thand and Water Conservation Fund?	have efforts and nded you	Q5	Here's the next one: The Land and Conservation Fund supports the out economy – which each year general billion in consumer spending and he 7.6 million jobs. – do you find this a convincing, somewhat convincing or convincing reason to permanently reprogram?	door tes \$887 elps support very r not a enew the	
	Support	67%		Very convincing	56%	
	Oppose			Somewhat convincing	28%	
	Not sure			Not convincing	13%	
Q3	I'm going to read you some reasons why supporters of the Land and Water Conservation Fund say it should be renew and after each one, ask you if you find it a convincing, somewhat convincing or not a convincing reason to permanently renew to program. Here's the first one: the Land and Water Conservation Fund helps kids and the	/ed, very he d their	Q6	Not sure  Here's the next one: The program is offshore oil and gas drilling and doe taxpayers anything – do you find this convincing, somewhat convincing or convincing reason to permanently reprogram?  Very convincing	s paid for by s not cost s a very r not a enew the	
	families get outside. Do you find this a ver convincing, somewhat convincing or not a					
	convincing, somewhat convincing of not a convincing reason to permanently renew t			Somewhat convincing		
	program?			Not convincing		
	Very convincing			Not sure	370	
	Somewhat convincing					
	Not convincing					
	Not sure	3%				





Q7	Having heard all the information in this solet me ask you again: do you support or oppose renewing and funding the Land Water Conservation Fund?	,	Q10 In the 2016 election for President, of for Republican Donald Trump, Den Clinton, someone else, or did you rethe election?	nocrat Hillary
	Support	64%	Donald Trump	56%
	Oppose	18%	Hillary Clinton	34%
	Not sure	18%	Someone else / Did not vote	10%
Q8	If Representative Kevin McCarthy voted		Q11 If you are a woman, press 1. If a m	an, press 2.
	authorize renewal of the Land and Water Conservation Fund, would that make yo		Woman	53%
	more favorable or less favorable toward		Man	47%
	or would it not make a difference?		Q12 If you are a Democrat, press 1. If a	
	More favorable		press 2. If an independent, press 3	
	Less favorable	16%	Democrat	
	It wouldn't make a difference	34%	Republican	
	Not sure	2%	Independent	
Q9	It's possible that Congress might technic renew the Land and Water Conservation	n Fund,	Q13 If you are Hispanic or Latino, press press 2. If other, press 3.	1. If white,
	but not permanently fund it with the nec money, which would prevent the progra		Hispanic / Latino	20%
	continuing as effectively as it has. If		White	69%
	Representative Kevin McCarthy voted to the Land and Water Conservation Fund		Other	12%
	fund it, would that make you feel more favorable or less favorable towards him		Q14 If you are 18-45 years old, press 1. press 2. If older than 65, press 3.	. If 46-65,
	would it not make a difference?		18 to 45	20%
	More favorable	22%	46 to 65	46%
	Less favorable	39%	Older than 65	33%
	It wouldn't make a difference	36%		
	Not sure			





		2016 Vote		
	Base	Donal- Hillary Someone d Tru Clint e / Did no		Someone els- e / Did not v
Trump Approval		_	<u> </u>	
Approve	55%	94%	3%	13%
Disapprove	ľ	4%	94%	75%
Not sure	3%	2%	3%	12%

		2016 Vo	te	
	Base	Donal- d Tru		Someone els- e / Did not v
Funding Land and Water Conservation Fund Support / Oppose				
Support	67%	54%	84%	80%
Oppose	16%	24%	4%	11%
Not sure	17%	22%	12%	9%

		2016 Vote			
	Base	Donal- d Tru		Someone els- e / Did not v	
LWCF Helps Families Get Outside Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No					
Very convincing	36%	27%	53%	30%	
Somewhat convincing	35%	31%	39%	44%	
Not convincing	27%	39%	8%	22%	
Not sure	3%	3%	1%	5%	





		2016 Vo		
	Base	Donal- d Tru	Hillary Clint	Someone els- e / Did not v
LWCF Protects Important Wildlife Habitat Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	51%	35%	76%	61%
Somewhat convincing	25%	29%	18%	25%
Not convincing	20%	34%	1%	10%
Not sure	3%	2%	5%	3%

		2016 Vote			
	Base	Donal- d Tru		Someone els- e / Did not v	
LWCF Supports Outdoor Economy Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No					
Very convincing	56%	46%	75%	51%	
Somewhat convincing	28%	32%	21%	30%	
Not convincing	13%	19%	3%	17%	
Not sure	3%	3%	2%	2%	





	2016 Vote			
	Base	Donal- d Tru		Someone els- e / Did not v
LWCF Paid for by Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	48%	44%	55%	42%
Somewhat convincing	23%	26%	20%	20%
Not convincing	24%	27%	18%	26%
Not sure	5%	3%	7%	12%

		2016 Vote			
	Base	Donal- d Tru	Hillary Clint	Someone els- e / Did not v	
Informed LWCF Renewal Support / Oppose					
Support	64%	54%	76%	75%	
Oppose	18%	26%	8%	10%	
Not sure	18%	20%	16%	15%	





		2016 Vote			
	Base	Donal- d Tru	•	Someone els- e / Did not v	
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF More / Less Favorable					
More favorable	48%	57%	35%	41%	
Less favorable	16%	11%	20%	33%	
It wouldn't make a difference	34%	31%	44%	24%	
Not sure	2%	1%	2%	1%	

		2016 Vote			
	Base	Donal- d Tru	-	Someone els- e / Did not v	
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF But Not Fund It More / Less Favorable					
More favorable	22%	30%	8%	23%	
Less favorable	39%	25%	61%	37%	
It wouldn't make a difference	36%	42%	28%	35%	
Not sure	3%	3%	3%	6%	

		Gender	
	Base	Wom	Man
Trump Approval		-	
Approve	55%	49%	61%
Disapprove	42%	47%	37%
Not sure	3%	4%	2%





	Gender		
	Base	Wom	Man
Funding Land and Water Conservation Fund Support / Oppose			
Support	67%	71%	62%
Oppose	16%	12%	21%
Not sure	17%	17%	17%

		Gender		
	Base	Wom	Man	
LWCF Helps Families Get Outside Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No		-		
Very convincing	36%	39%	33%	
Somewhat convincing	35%	37%	32%	
Not convincing	27%	21%	33%	
Not sure	3%	3%	2%	

		Gender	
	Base	Wom	Man
LWCF Protects Important Wildlife Habitat Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No			
Very convincing	51%	57%	45%
Somewhat convincing	25%	22%	29%
Not convincing	20%	16%	25%
Not sure	3%	5%	1%





		Gender	
	Base	Wom	Man
LWCF Supports Outdoor Economy Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No		-	
Very convincing	56%	61%	51%
Somewhat convincing	28%	24%	32%
Not convincing	13%	11%	15%
Not sure	3%	3%	2%

		Gender	
	Base	Wom	Man
LWCF Paid for by Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No			
Very convincing	48%	50%	44%
Somewhat convincing	23%	24%	23%
Not convincing	24%	20%	28%
Not sure	5%	6%	4%

	Gender		
	Base	Wom	Man
Informed LWCF Renewal Support / Oppose		_	
Support	64%	67%	59%
Oppose	18%	12%	25%
Not sure	18%	20%	16%





		Gender	
	Base	Wom	Man
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF More / Less Favorable			
More favorable	48%	45%	52%
Less favorable	16%	12%	21%
It wouldn't make a difference	34%	42%	26%
Not sure	2%	1%	2%

	Gender			
	Base	Wom	Man	
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF But Not Fund It More / Less Favorable				
More favorable	22%	18%	26%	
Less favorable	39%	40%	37%	
It wouldn't make a difference	36%	38%	34%	
Not sure	3%	3%	3%	

		Party			
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe	
Trump Approval		-	-	-	
Approve	55%	20%	86%	36%	
Disapprove	42%	75%	12%	59%	
Not sure	3%	4%	2%	5%	





		Party			
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe	
Funding Land and Water Conservation Fund Support / Oppose					
Support	67%	82%	57%	63%	
Oppose	16%	7%	21%	21%	
Not sure	17%	11%	22%	16%	

	Party				
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe	
LWCF Helps Families Get Outside Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No					
Very convincing	36%	49%	29%	29%	
Somewhat convincing	35%	37%	33%	37%	
Not convincing	27%	12%	35%	32%	
Not sure	3%	2%	3%	2%	

		Party	Party			
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe		
LWCF Protects Important Wildlife Habitat Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No						
Very convincing	51%	69%	37%	56%		
Somewhat convincing	25%	20%	30%	22%		
Not convincing	20%	7%	30%	21%		
Not sure	3%	5%	2%	1%		





		Party		
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe
LWCF Supports Outdoor Economy Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	56%	65%	51%	54%
Somewhat convincing	28%	25%	33%	20%
Not convincing	13%	8%	14%	23%
Not sure	3%	2%	3%	3%

		Party			
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe	
LWCF Paid for by Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No					
Very convincing	48%	59%	45%	31%	
Somewhat convincing	23%	17%	29%	22%	
Not convincing	24%	16%	23%	44%	
Not sure	5%	9%	3%	4%	

		Party				
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe		
Informed LWCF Renewal Support / Oppose						
Support	64%	75%	58%	56%		
Oppose	18%	10%	22%	25%		
Not sure	18%	15%	20%	19%		





		Party		
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF More / Less Favorable				
More favorable	48%	43%	55%	35%
Less favorable	16%	19%	11%	26%
It wouldn't make a difference	34%	35%	32%	39%
Not sure	2%	2%	1%	-

		Party				
	Base	Democr	Republic	Independe		
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF But Not Fund It More / Less Favorable						
More favorable	22%	17%	28%	12%		
Less favorable	39%	53%	29%	35%		
It wouldn't make a difference	36%	27%	39%	51%		
Not sure	3%	3%	4%	2%		

		Race		
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati	White	Other
Trump Approval		-	•	
Approve	55%	30%	67%	27%
Disapprove	42%	64%	31%	66%
Not sure	3%	6%	2%	7%





		Race		
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati		Other
Funding Land and Water Conservation Fund Support / Oppose				
Support	67%	69%	65%	77%
Oppose	16%	15%	18%	8%
Not sure	17%	16%	18%	15%

		Race		
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati		Other
LWCF Helps Families Get Outside Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	36%	42%	34%	37%
Somewhat convincing	35%	38%	33%	38%
Not convincing	27%	16%	31%	21%
Not sure	3%	3%	2%	4%





	Race			
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati		Other
LWCF Protects Important Wildlife Habitat Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	51%	59%	48%	55%
Somewhat convincing	25%	20%	26%	29%
Not convincing	20%	13%	24%	13%
Not sure	3%	7%	2%	2%

		Race		
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati		Other
LWCF Supports Outdoor Economy Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	56%	54%	55%	65%
Somewhat convincing	28%	29%	28%	23%
Not convincing	13%	14%	13%	9%
Not sure	3%	3%	3%	2%





		Race		
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati	White	Other
LWCF Paid for by Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	48%	53%	46%	49%
Somewhat convincing	23%	15%	27%	19%
Not convincing	24%	17%	25%	30%
Not sure	5%	15%	3%	3%

		Race		
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati	White	Other
Informed LWCF Renewal Support / Oppose				
Support	64%	63%	62%	72%
Oppose	18%	14%	20%	12%
Not sure	18%	23%	17%	16%





		Race		
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati		Other
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF More / Less Favorable				
More favorable	48%	44%	51%	36%
Less favorable	16%	26%	13%	16%
It wouldn't make a difference	34%	28%	34%	45%
Not sure	2%	2%	1%	2%

		Race		
	Base	Hispani- c / Lati	White	Other
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF But Not Fund It More / Less Favorable				
More favorable	22%	24%	21%	20%
Less favorable	39%	40%	38%	39%
It wouldn't make a difference	36%	33%	38%	33%
Not sure	3%	3%	3%	7%

		Age		
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than
Trump Approval				
Approve	55%	40%	59%	59%
Disapprove	42%	53%	40%	38%
Not sure	3%	7%	1%	3%





		Age		
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than
Funding Land and Water Conservation Fund Support / Oppose				
Support	67%	77%	65%	63%
Oppose	16%	12%	17%	17%
Not sure	17%	11%	18%	20%

		Age		
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than
LWCF Helps Families Get Outside Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	36%	44%	33%	35%
Somewhat convincing	35%	37%	35%	34%
Not convincing	27%	17%	30%	28%
Not sure	3%	2%	2%	3%





		Age		
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than
LWCF Protects Important Wildlife Habitat Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	51%	62%	47%	50%
Somewhat convincing	25%	17%	29%	25%
Not convincing	20%	14%	23%	20%
Not sure	3%	6%	1%	4%

		Age		
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than
LWCF Supports Outdoor Economy Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No				
Very convincing	56%	59%	56%	55%
Somewhat convincing	28%	34%	25%	28%
Not convincing	13%	6%	17%	12%
Not sure	3%	2%	2%	4%





		Age			
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than	
LWCF Paid for by Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling Convincing Reason to Renew Yes / No					
Very convincing	48%	61%	41%	48%	
Somewhat convincing	23%	16%	24%	26%	
Not convincing	24%	12%	30%	22%	
Not sure	5%	11%	4%	4%	

		Age		
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than
Informed LWCF Renewal Support / Oppose				
Support	64%	69%	60%	65%
Oppose	18%	14%	21%	16%
Not sure	18%	17%	18%	18%





		Age		
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF More / Less Favorable				
More favorable	48%	53%	44%	51%
Less favorable	16%	12%	20%	13%
It wouldn't make a difference	34%	35%	34%	35%
Not sure	2%	-	2%	2%

		Age		
	Base	18 to 45	46 to 65	Older than
McCarthy Vote to Renew LWCF But Not Fund It More / Less Favorable			_	
More favorable	22%	26%	22%	19%
Less favorable	39%	40%	38%	39%
It wouldn't make a difference	36%	34%	37%	36%
Not sure	3%	-	3%	5%

