

Voting Likelihood

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I voted in person before election day	214	21.0	21.0	21.0
	I voted on election day	660	65.0	65.0	86.0
	I voted by absentee ballot	142	14.0	14.0	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

201. Overall, do you approve or disapprove of Barack Obama's job as president?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly approve	263	25.9	25.9	25.9
	Somewhat approve	277	27.2	27.2	53.1
	Somewhat disapprove	148	14.6	14.6	67.7
	Strongly disapprove	321	31.6	31.6	99.4
	Not sure	6	.6	.6	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

US Direction

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Right Direction	415	40.9	40.9	40.9
	Wrong Track	491	48.3	48.3	89.2
	Not sure	110	10.8	10.8	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

204. In the Congressional race in 2012, for which party's candidate did you vote?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democrat	495	48.7	48.7	48.7
	Republican	455	44.8	44.8	93.5
	Minor party or Independent candidate	52	5.1	5.1	98.6
	Refuse	14	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

205. In the election for President of the United States, for whom did you vote?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democrat Barack Obama	512	50.4	50.4	50.4
	Republican Mitt Romney	446	43.9	43.9	94.3
	Libertarian Party Gary Johnson	20	2.0	2.0	96.3
	Green Party Jill Stein	7	.7	.7	97.0
	Constitution Party Virgil Goode	7	.7	.7	97.7
	Refuse	23	2.3	2.3	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

1. Do you think the U.S. government is doing too much, too little or about the right amount in terms of protecting America's air, water, wildlife and other natural resources?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Too much	147	14.4	14.4	14.4
	Too little	450	44.3	44.3	58.7
	About the right amount	326	32.1	32.1	90.8
	Not sure	94	9.2	9.2	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

2. Which major political party do you trust more to protect America's air, water, wildlife and other natural resources?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democrats	443	43.6	43.6	43.6
	Republicans	241	23.7	23.7	67.3
	Neither / Not sure	333	32.7	32.7	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

3. Do you think climate change is adding to the severity of recent extreme weather such as Superstorm Sandy and the summer droughts?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	581	57.2	57.2	57.2
	No	284	27.9	27.9	85.2
	Not sure	151	14.8	14.8	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

4. Are you worried about the growing cost and risks of extreme weather disasters fueled by climate change?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I am worried a great deal	227	22.3	22.3	22.3
	I am somewhat worried	474	46.7	46.7	69.0
	I am not very worried	161	15.9	15.9	84.9
	I am not worried at all	115	11.3	11.3	96.2
	Not sure	39	3.8	3.8	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

5. Do you believe elected officials should take steps now to reduce the impact of climate change on future generations, or wait until there is more evidence?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Take steps now	664	65.3	65.3	65.3
	Wait until there is more evidence	278	27.3	27.3	92.7
	Not sure	75	7.3	7.3	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

6. Which of the following proposals offered by candidates do you think should be the highest priority to help solve America's energy challenges?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Relax clean air standards to promote more electricity from coal.	35	3.5	3.5	3.5
	Provide federal loan guarantees for new nuclear power reactors.	21	2.1	2.1	5.6
	Drill for more oil and gas in the United States within national forests, wildlife refuges and public lands	185	18.2	18.2	23.8
	Do more to encourage the development of renewable forms of energy like wind and solar power.	388	38.2	38.2	62.0
	Promote energy conservation through tax incentives and better fuel economy standards for cars and trucks	127	12.5	12.5	74.5
	Approve the Keystone XL pipeline to carry Canadian tar sands oil across the United States to the Gulf Coast.	154	15.2	15.2	89.7
	Not sure	105	10.3	10.3	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

7. How concerned are you that political donations by oil, gas and coal industries are influencing politicians in Washington to approve policies that benefit their corporations?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very concerned	423	41.7	41.7	41.7
	Somewhat concerned	356	35.1	35.1	76.7
	Not very concerned	144	14.2	14.2	90.9
	Not at all concerned	46	4.6	4.6	95.5
	Not sure	46	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democratic	389	38.3	38.3	38.3
	Republican	328	32.3	32.3	70.6
	Independent	299	29.4	29.4	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Region

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	East	234	23.0	23.0	23.0
	South	264	26.0	26.0	49.0
	Centr GrLks	315	31.0	31.0	80.0
	West	203	20.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	476	46.8	47.0	47.0
	Female	536	52.8	53.0	100.0
	Total	1012	99.6	100.0	
Missing	.00	4	.4		
Total		1016	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	< \$25K	140	13.8	14.4	14.4
	\$25-35K	125	12.3	12.9	27.3
	\$35-50K	133	13.0	13.7	41.0
	\$50-75K	199	19.6	20.6	61.6
	\$75-100K	101	9.9	10.4	72.0
	\$100+	271	26.7	28.0	100.0
	Total	968	95.3	100.0	
Missing	.00	48	4.7		
Total		1016	100.0		

Age group A

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	189	18.6	19.0	19.0
	30-49	367	36.2	37.0	56.0
	50-64	278	27.4	28.0	84.0
	65+	159	15.6	16.0	100.0
	Total	993	97.7	100.0	
Missing	.00	23	2.3		
Total		1016	100.0		

Age group B

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-24	80	7.8	8.0	8.0
	25-34	189	18.7	19.1	27.1
	35-54	391	38.5	39.4	66.5
	55-69	281	27.6	28.3	94.7
	70+	52	5.1	5.3	100.0
	Total	993	97.7	100.0	
Missing	.00	23	2.3		
Total		1016	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

Generation

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	First Globals	258	25.4	26.0	26.0
	Nikes	261	25.7	26.2	52.2
	Woodstockers	371	36.5	37.4	89.6
	Privates	103	10.2	10.4	100.0
	Total	993	97.7	100.0	
Missing	.00	23	2.3		
Total		1016	100.0		

Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No College Degree	465	45.7	54.4	54.4
	College Degree+	389	38.3	45.6	100.0
	Total	854	84.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	162	16.0		
Total		1016	100.0		

Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	755	74.3	74.3	74.3
	Hisp	102	10.0	10.0	84.3
	AfrAmer	132	13.0	13.0	97.3
	Asian	14	1.4	1.4	98.7
	Other	13	1.3	1.3	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

Live

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Lge City	224	22.0	22.2	22.2
	Sm City	249	24.5	24.7	46.9
	Suburbs	363	35.8	36.0	82.9
	Rural	172	16.9	17.1	100.0
	Total	1008	99.3	100.0	
Missing	.00	8	.7		
Total		1016	100.0		

Ideology Recoded

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Liberal	228	22.5	24.0	24.0
	Moderate	390	38.4	41.0	65.0
	Conservative	333	32.8	35.0	100.0
	Total	952	93.7	100.0	
Missing	.00	64	6.3		
Total		1016	100.0		

Religion

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Catholic	254	25.0	25.0	25.0
	Protestant	538	53.0	53.0	78.0
	Jewish	14	1.3	1.3	79.3
	Other/None	210	20.7	20.7	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Born again?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	244	24.0	45.3	45.3
	No/NS	295	29.0	54.7	100.0
	Total	538	53.0	100.0	
Missing	.00	478	47.0		
Total		1016	100.0		

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

Union

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	146	14.4	14.4	14.4
	No	870	85.6	85.6	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Child under 17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	378	37.2	37.2	37.2
	No	638	62.8	62.8	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Marital status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	656	64.6	65.0	65.0
	Single	181	17.8	18.0	83.0
	Div/Sep/Wdw	130	12.8	12.9	95.9
	Civil union	41	4.1	4.1	100.0
	Total	1009	99.3	100.0	
Missing	.00	7	.7		
Total		1016	100.0		

Armed Forces

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	68	6.7	6.7	6.7
	No	948	93.3	93.3	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

NASCAR Fan

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	274	27.0	27.0	27.0
	No	742	73.0	73.0	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

Attend services

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Weekly+	109	10.8	11.1	11.1
	Weekly	250	24.6	25.3	36.4
	1-2/Month	148	14.6	15.0	51.4
	Holidays	110	10.8	11.1	62.5
	Rarely	278	27.3	28.1	90.7
	Never	92	9.1	9.3	100.0
	Total	987	97.2	100.0	
Missing	.00	29	2.8		
Total		1016	100.0		

Career or Gig

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Career	405	39.9	39.9	39.9
	Just a "gig"	182	17.9	17.9	57.8
	Not currently employed	429	42.2	42.2	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Telephone

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Mobile	360	35.4	35.4	35.4
	Landline	84	8.3	8.3	43.7
	Both	563	55.4	55.4	99.1
	No telephone	9	.9	.9	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Social Networker

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	489	48.2	48.2	48.2
	No	527	51.8	51.8	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

Investor class

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	274	26.9	26.9	26.9
	No	742	73.1	73.1	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

WalMart shopper

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Weekly	290	28.5	28.6	28.6
	Few/Month	425	41.8	42.0	70.6
	1-2/year	230	22.6	22.7	93.4
	Never	57	5.6	5.6	99.0
	Other	10	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	1012	99.6	100.0	
Missing	.00	4	.4		
Total		1016	100.0		

Residence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	My city or town	308	30.3	30.6	30.6
	America	453	44.6	45.1	75.7
	The planet earth	244	24.0	24.3	100.0
	Total	1004	98.8	100.0	
Missing	.00	12	1.2		
Total		1016	100.0		

Passport

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	538	52.9	52.9	52.9
	No	478	47.1	47.1	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.

Sympathetic to Tea Party

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	265	26.0	26.0	26.0
	No	751	74.0	74.0	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Sympathetic to Occupy Wall Street

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	240	23.7	23.7	23.7
	No	776	76.3	76.3	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Creative Job Sector

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	291	28.6	28.6	28.6
	No	725	71.4	71.4	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Creative Class

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	391	38.5	38.5	38.5
	No	625	61.5	61.5	100.0
	Total	1016	100.0	100.0	

Subsets have a larger margin of error than the whole data set. As a rule we do not rely on the validity of very small subsets of the data, especially sets smaller than 50-75 respondents. At that size subset we can make generalizations, but in these cases the data is more qualitative than quantitative.